A Budgetary Comparison: Michigan vs. UCSC Revenues

- State Support: 36% (UCSC) vs. 16% (Michigan)
- Extramural Support: 35% (Michigan) vs. 23% (UCSC)
- Tuition and Fees 27% (Michigan) vs. 23% (UCSC)
Indirect Costs: The Institutional Cut of Extramural Support

- **Off-the-Top Fund**
  - Campus Share: 94%
  - UCOP Share: 6%
  - **Purpose**: To help fund costs associated with administering contract and grants.

- **University Opportunity Fund**
  - Campus Share: 94%
  - UCOP Share: 6%
  - **Purpose**: To help bolster funding for research activities, matching, infrastructure, capital, debt, etc.
  - Breakdown:
    - Academic Division: 40%
    - Central Resources: 40%
    - VC Research: 15%
    - COR Grants: 5%

- **Offset to State Support**
  - Campus Share: 94%
  - UCOP Share: 6%
  - **Purpose**: To help fund the General Fund budget and to support fixed cost increases (e.g., inflation, merits, range adjustments, etc.)
Time-Dependence of Federal Indirect Cost Receipts for Different Campus Units
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Report Goals

• To evaluate trends in research funding through time.

• To examine the trade-offs between indirect cost returns (particularly Opportunity Funds) to the campus and campus expenditures on research, such as start-up expenses.

• To provide a basis for further analysis of campus growth plans.

Coming soon (early next week) to the CPB website…. 