

COMMITTEE ON PLANNING AND BUDGET
Report on Status of Professional Schools

To the Academic Senate, Santa Cruz Division:

An oral report will be given to the Senate based on the following outline.

1. Why professional schools now?
 - A means of increasing graduate growth targeted to a different set of students than traditional MA and PH.D. programs.
 - A means of enhancing areas of campus strength.
 - A means of developing the UCSC location in Silicon Valley.
2. What's been done thus far to explore the possibilities for professional schools?
 - Call for proposals last year.
 - Seed money from the Vice Provost for Academic Affairs.
 - Office of the President (OP) money for development of the UCSC-Silicon Valley connection.
3. What ideas have emerged, then and since, from which campus constituencies, and at what stages of development?
 - Architecture and Design, Education, Environmental Science and Policy, Library and Information Technology, and Public Health (ideas suggested by faculty groups and deans)
 - Climate Change (pre-professional school program)
 - Coastal and Marine Policy, Public Media, and Public Policy (pre-proposals funded through Senate process)
 - School of Management (most fully funded and developed of all the above)
4. School of Management update
 - Pre-proposal phase
 - Consultant report
 - Resource plan
 - Campus sponsors
5. Process for new proposals
 - Guidelines for pre-proposals
 - EVC available support

Respectfully submitted,

COMMITTEE ON PLANNING AND BUDGET

Faye Crosby, *ex-officio*

Ray Gibbs

Emily Honig

David Evan Jones

Tracy Larrabee

Karen Otteman

Grant Pogson

Ravi Rajan

Quentin Williams, *ex-officio*

Susan Gillman, Chair

Sara Curtis, SUA Representative

Bryant Mata, SUA Representative

February 23, 2007