MINUTES
COMMITTEE ON PLANNING AND BUDGET
Meeting of April 19, 2012

Present: Lynn Westerkamp, Chair, Robert Boltje, David Draper, Daniel Friedman, Patty Gallagher, Susan Gillman, David Helmbold, Joe Konopelski, Sriram Shastry, Deanna Shemek, Andy Szasz, Valerie Poyner, GSA, Matthew Mednick, Committee Analyst, Mary-Beth Harhen, Senate Director

Absent: Jessica Greenstreet, SUA
Guests: EVC Galloway

Members Items
Member Friedman reported on retention subcommittee updates. He noted that VC Delaney and Institutional Research Director Fernald planned to attend a UC-wide 2-day conference on best retention practices in late May, and that he would meet with Fernald soon after. He mentioned that CAFA member Andrew Fisher expressed willingness to meet with the retention subcommittee next fall to help formulate a comprehensive plan for retention.

Additionally, member Friedman reported on correspondence with TAPS Director Pageler on the parking fare increases planned for 2012-13 which will be on the order of about 5%. The Transportation Advisory Committee (TAC) will be meeting over the summer to look at data on exactly how the subsidies and the TAPS cross-funding between parking fees and transportation fees structure works. CPB related that any recommendation from CPB can only be given after the full committee reviews the official proposal.

Lastly, member Friedman attended the third in a series of Chancellor’s dinners about the Silicon Valley Initiative. There were about 20 attendees including other faculty and the Dean of Arts.

Many of the CPB members attended the previous day’s Senate Forum on the Future of the Curriculum. The committee discussed the forum and identified some areas which CPB would likely address since as directly related to the committee’s purview including: revisions to divisional structures and workload counting models and other faculty resources.

Unit Budget Reviews 2012-13
CPB Chair Westerkamp provided an overview of the budget review process, reiterated from training which occurred in fall. Unit assignments were finalized and the committee plans to begin with its review of the budget cuts scenarios next week.

Consultation with EVC Galloway
The committee asked the EVC several specific process questions related to partner hire authorization requests. The EVC indicated she is considering instituting a forward funding model for partner hires with an expectation that such positions when approved be funded centrally, with the understanding that divisional resources would need to take over after a “grace” period of approximately 3-5 years. This would allow partner hiring to continue, but with the requirement that academic divisions take on these faculty into their size and shape planning.
The EVC reported to the committee on changes in campus policy and procedure which reduce costs by eliminating overly burdensome risk aversion practices.

The EVC reported on her current student retention related plans to send several administrators (as well as CPB representatives if so interested) to conferences and perform analysis on nationwide best practices for possible implementation on our campus.

The committee had several questions on the UC Online Education programs and how these courses will be mounted both as part of the campus curriculum and through other areas like UNEX and as taken by non-credit earners. The EVC engaged in a discussion of how to incentivize the development of these courses on our campus and what niches they could and should fulfill in our curriculum.

**Biological Sciences UG Major Administrative Oversight Change**
The committee reviewed the proposed changes and was generally supportive.

**Open Access Policy for the University of California**
The committee reviewed the University Committee on Library and Scholarly Communication background materials and model open access policy. Broad discussion of how to approach the review took place with many different viewpoints reflected, often based on experience in particular disciplinary fields which have divergent publication strategies and standards. Several members volunteered to draft the response to our campus COLASC, who initiated the review request.