Present: Chair Susan Gillman, Amy Beal, Gildas Hamel, Lori Kletzer, Herbie Lee, David Marriott, Cindy Pease-Alvarez, Grant Pogson, Quentin Williams, Kevin Schlaufman and Mary-Beth Harhen

Absent: Piero Madau (w/notice)

Guests: Vice Provost Silicon Valley Center Joe Miller

Member Items
CPB member David Marriott volunteered to be the CPB representative to the Transportation Advisory Committee (TAC).

Chair Gillman attended the UC Planning and Budget (UCPB) meeting on October 7 where she received an update on the UC budget. It is almost certain there will be mid year cuts. UCPB also discussed system wide enrollments. Currently the UC system is overenrolled by 10,000 students. UC Office of the President (UCOP) expects one more year of growth (2010-11). After that UCOP is not expecting significant growth in enrollment.

Campus Units Deficits
The committee discussed the units which have chronic deficits. CPB discussed which units could be scaled back significantly or entirely for some period, and then restored. CPB determined it would like to learn more about Shakespeare Santa Cruz and how it sets ticket prices, the expansion of the program, costs and revenues. The committee would also like to know the overall intention of Shakespeare, such as is the dominant rational community outreach? CPB would also like to learn more about how the Arts and Lectures series fits into University Relations.

After some discussion the committee determined it will request more information on Shakespeare, the Arboretum, and the transit fee.

Faculty Salary Implementation Discussion
CPB discussed various faculty salary increase considerations including priorities, trade offs and funding sources. CPB agreed that the plan should be implemented in a single year. The committee contemplated funding sources including hollowing out of FTE from the center. CPB will write a letter to the EVC conveying the committee’s thoughts and request more information on funding sources.

Master Capital Improvement Plan (MCIP)
CPB feels the campus has to rethink its capital improvement program and reprioritize it. There is not currently enough clarity and analysis on potential building sites. The committee agreed that when the campus is considering building in an area there must be
an analysis of the whole area, not just the specific site. The campus must consider the bigger picture and what else can be put in the area. CPB would like to see a site plan for the Chinquapin Extension and Social Sciences.

**FTE /Faculty Resource Discussion**
Planning and Budget Director Kathleen Dettman provided an overview of campus FTE including filled versus unfilled. UCOP mandates that the campus needs to keep ten percent of its FTE in reserves in case of a budget situation. These FTE do not have to be filled. The current reserve is about 43 FTE, and the money behind the FTE is used for start up.

**Consultation with Vice Provost Silicon Valley Initiatives Joe Miller**
VPSVI Miller began by providing an overview of the area. Currently there is a theme developing in the areas of nano technologies and social issues. The components of the Silicon Valley center include the University Affiliated Research Center (UARC), Building 19, the Advanced Studies Laboratories and the NASA Research Park.

NASA Ames, UCSC, Carnegie Mellon, Santa Clara University and Foothill-DeAnza are partnering to form a new community for research and education at the NASA Research Park. According to VPSVI Miller the guiding vision is with UCSC as a leader, the creation of a unique community of educational institutions and industrial partners in collaboration with NASA Ames in the heart of Silicon Valley. NASA owns the land. The university associates are forming an LLC which will limit UC’s liability. A master developer will fund all the development. UC Regents have endorsed this project and VPSVI Miller has received support from Silicon Valley community leaders.

There is a term sheet currently at NASA headquarters for review and approval. NASA Ames and the university affiliates will draft a lease which will then go to NASA headquarters. The lease signing is scheduled for December 23, 2008, but there are several components that must be in place before that happens. This includes the creating of the LLC, Regent approval and an exemption to an environmental impact report. UC must have an environmental impact report for the area, which will take some time, but exemptions can be granted allowing for the lease signing to go through as planned.

UARC generates 2.4 million dollars in returns to UCSC. There is also an annual performance award of $1 million dollars that is given back to UCSC.

VPSVI Miller closed his presentation by saying that the campus is going to have to come up with some academic planning for Silicon Valley very soon. As he moves forward in developing, VPSVI Miller hopes faculty will be engaged.