MINUTES
COMMITTEE ON PLANNING AND BUDGET
Meeting of December 1, 2005

Present: Chair Paul Koch, Onuttom Narayan, Wentai Liu, Don Rothman, Ray Gibbs, David Evan Jones, Emily Honig, Quentin Williams, Faye Crosby, Mary-Beth Harhen, Saurabh Mishra

Absent: (with notice), Ravi Rajan, Marina Sarran,

Members’ Items/Committee Business
CPB member Don Rothman, who attended the Science Communication Program External Review Closure meeting, reported that changes for the program are on hold until the new Director is hired. CPB member Faye Crosby reported that Academic Council announced that Ethical Principles (an initiative from the Regents) have been adopted. Ethical briefing rather than training will be developed and required of all employees. Also announced at the Council meeting was that as currently configured, the Science and Math Initiative (SMI) will cost $25M, which is ten times greater than the original estimate. Also discussed at the Academic Council meeting was that BOARS is considering eliminating the bump toward eligibility that is produced by taking Advance Placement (AP) courses. A report indicates that taking AP courses is not predictive of academic success. CPB expressed interest in seeing the report in order to understand the data to determine if indeed eliminating the AP “bump” is the correct action, though this is of course an issue that CAFA should review carefully.

The 10/20/05 and the 11/03/05 minutes were approved as written. The minutes for 10/27/05 and 11/10/05 were approved with edits.

American Studies External Review Closure Letter
The American Studies External Review Report was positive and it encouraged the department to follow the UC Davis model for interdisciplinary graduate programs. CPB noted that the situation of the American Studies Department has changed significantly since the external review was conducted and the report was written. CPB agreed to write the VPAA and request that the department have an opportunity to respond to the current situation before the review continues. They will also recommend that in lieu of a closure meeting an internal review be conducted to better understand the implications of the personnel changes that have occurred since the review. Overall, the committee discussed several issues: the small number of faculty left; the future of American Studies as a department; the ability of the department to govern itself; the future of the CUSSP graduate proposal; and the ability of campus to develop interdisciplinary graduate programs.
**EIR Response**
The comment period has been extended to January 11, 2006. CPB discussed revisions to their draft response. The final draft will be vetted via email and then posted on the CPB website.

**ITS**
CPB agreed to send a request to CPEVC Kliger for additional data regarding Information Technology Services (ITS). CPB is requesting: the total budget; an explanation of the recharge model; academic and non-academic S&E; and how ITS will be accountable to provide services; and how feedback is going to flow.

**Faculty Recruitment for 2006-07**
The CPB sub committee on the 20-Year Planning and Trajectory Assessment at UCSC (TYPTAU) charge was approved.

**TYPTAU Charge**
The Committee is charged with constructing and evaluating possible UCSC budgetary distributions in the year 2025, taking into account different scenarios including (1) changes in the amount of state funding directed to UC/UCSC; (2) alterations in the student-faculty ratio; (3) shifts in revenue generation from student fees; and (4) changes in campus revenue derived from indirect costs/fund raising. These different budgetary scenarios will also treat likely intracampus student and FTE distributions. As the faculty make-up of 2025 will be, to a great extent, determined by the near-term (next five-year) campus deployment of FTE, the subcommittee will examine what trajectories the campus is currently defining with respect to long-term campus funding models.

CPB set a timeline for the TYPTAU subcommittee and an initial report will be completed by Spring quarter.