

MINUTES
COMMITTEE ON PLANNING AND BUDGET
Meeting of November 17, 2005

Present: Chair Paul Koch, Onuttom Narayan, Wentai Liu, Don Rothman, Ray Gibbs, Ravi Rajan, David Evan Jones, Quentin Williams, Faye Crosby, Mary-Beth Harhen, Marina Sarran, Saurabh Mishra

Absent: (with notice) Emily Honig

Guest: VC Meredith Michaels

Members' Items/Committee Business

CPB member Faye Crosby reported on the Faculty Diversity Task Force meeting, which discussed having the Committee on Affirmative Action and Diversity comment on External Reviews, the statistics on UC-wide diversity have remained the same for the past 20 years, and UCSC is the best in terms of faculty diversity in the UC system. A committee member noted that some federal funding sources have mandates with respect to diversity, which might compel the system to take actions to increase diversity, and that the UCOP needs to provide a better sense of what we can and can't do in regards to hiring. There also needs to be more development of leadership in the administration among underrepresented groups.

EIR Response

Members reported on the public meeting to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR). City and county officials have asked for an extension to respond to the report. There is widespread unhappiness with the language used for mitigations; it is vague and non-committal. There are inconsistencies in the traffic projections between the EIR for the Terrace Point project (at 19,000 students) and the EIR for the 2005 Long Range Development Plan (LRDP) at 21,000. Further, as the city's general plan does not incorporate enrollment of 21,000, it is not valid to refer to this general plan when discussing mitigations.

CPB noted the lack of responsiveness to previous input on earlier stages in the LRDP process. CPB reviewed the draft EIR response, which will be submitted after the December 1, 2005 meeting.

UNEX Task Force Report

CPB would like the administration to clarify who is the responsible party for ensuring the financial viability and stability of University Extension (UNEX). CPB questioned the governance structure proposed in the Task Force report, specifically whether the Committee on Educational Policy (CEP) is the proper entity for oversight due to the fact that many of the courses are geared towards professionals. CPB noted that the graduate related components should be reviewed by the Graduate Council and that the undergraduate components by CEP. The oversight of the academics in UNEX in the past

has been minimal and it may be time to have more financial and academic oversight. The UNEX Task Force lays out a path for Senate participation and it will be up to the Senate to decide how to move forward.

UCSC in 2025/Academic Planning

The committee discussed several issues regarding academic planning for UCSC in 2025. Topics in the discussion included: the actual resources needed if there is progressive decrease in the state funded portion of the budget; the sources of funds that need to be pursued. CPB established the 20-Year Planning and Trajectory Assessment at UCSC subcommittee (TYPTAU), which will examine long-term planning issues.