

MINUTES
COMMITTEE ON PLANNING AND BUDGET
Meeting of May 4, 2006

Present: Faye Crosby, Onuttom Narayan, Quentin Williams, Ravi Rajan, Don Rothman, David Evan Jones, Ray Gibbs, Emily Honig, Marina Sarran, Saurabh Mishra, Mary-Beth Harhen

Absent: (with notice) Chair Paul Koch

Guests: CPEVC Dave Klinger, Assistant Provost Charlotte Moreno, Interim VPAA Alison Galloway and VC Meredith Michaels

Member's Items

The minutes for 3/2/06, 4/6/06 and 4/20/06 were all approved with edits. CPB *ex-officio* member Quentin Williams reported on the leadership meeting with CPEVC Klinger regarding the CPB resolution that passed at the Senate meeting. The EVC expressed willingness to work with the Senate to comply with the resolution.

Divisional FTE Requests

This agenda item was moved to the 5/11/06 CPB meeting.

Response to Campus Plan Outline

CPB discussed the draft Campus Academic Plan and listed several recommendations for Interim VPAA Galloway. Included in the list:

- Who is the audience for the plan?
- The Section III strengths wording is loose and repetitive.
- The plan is driven by departmental structure.
- All these categories are overlapping.
- The degree programs and research centers will be fit into the areas of synergies.
- How do you get faculty to think beyond their own departments?
- Where can we have strategic partnerships with the community, SVC and industry?
- What is the shelf life of the plan? It should be a framework into which campus changes can fit. Should it be updated annually?

Consultation with EVC Klinger and Interim VPAA Galloway

The Humanities divisional plan was discussed. CPB noted that the plan strictly adhered to the target FTE (even though the target was provisional). The Dean should be encouraged to think beyond that provisional allocation. Growth positions should support graduate studies, and the language and writing programs should be given higher priorities. CPB identified the following conditions that should prevail for writing:

1. The division should not feel beleaguered by having writing in the division.
2. The writing program and faculty should not be treated as a burden to the division.

3. The EVC must protect the quality and quantity of instruction to insure that “provincial” concerns do not interfere with delivery of this campus concern. The point was raised whether the Division can support both Feminist Studies and American Studies, two stand alone graduate projects. CPB asked how the devolution of divisionally held FTE to the departments, as proposed by the Dean, will affect the ability of the Humanities to conduct the activities that these FTE now pay for, and whether this is sustainable.

CPEVC Kilger stated he has received a vision statement from the Technology and Information (TIM) program. He would consult with CPB about it soon.

Academic Plans – Finalize Response

The Committee reviewed specific recommendations for the divisional academic plans. The letter to CPEVC Kliger will be forthcoming.