

MINUTES
COMMITTEE ON PLANNING AND BUDGET
Meeting of April 6, 2006

Present: Chair Paul Koch, Faye Crosby, Onuttom Narayan, Ray Gibbs, David Evan Jones, Quentin Williams, Don Rothman, Emily Honig, Mary-Beth Harhen

Absent: (with notice) Ravi Rajan, Marina Sarran, Saurabh Mishra

Guests: CPEVC Dave Kliger and Assistant Provost Charlotte Moreno

Member's Items

The UCPB Futures Report shows many views of UC under different levels of state funding. A discussion at the Academic Council about the UCPB Futures Report noted the need to orient more towards UC as a producer of knowledge (business) rather than just an educator of students. Council announced that funding for higher education is down overall, but the state colleges and community colleges may have been cut even more than the UCs.

Technology and Information Management Program

Approximately \$1.3 million dollars was allocated to the Technology and Information Management (TIM) Program by then EVC Delaney. CPB noted that part of the money was used for main campus expenses. CPB agreed that the School of Engineering (SOE) should have known that these funds were for Silicon Valley Center (SVC) expenses. Allocation was based on certain deliverables that were not delivered. Funds for next year have not yet been allocated. CPB identified a list of questions for EVC Kliger about the future of the TIM program.

Humanities TOE request

CPB had an initial discussion regarding the Humanities Target of Excellence (TOE) request which they will review with CPEVC Kliger.

Consultation with CPEVC Dave Kliger

CPB discussed the Humanities TOE request with CPEVC Kliger. The Dean of Humanities and the chair of the department requesting the TOE will consult with CPB next week.

EVC Kliger has communicated to the Interim SOE Dean that campus provided SVC funds to forward fund TIM. He stated that he will not put additional funding into this program and he has asked SOE for a clear plan for accomplishing the goals set out for TIM. The EVC does not believe that TIM will be an anchor program for the School of Management (SOM), only a component of it. SOM does not rely on TIM for its development. Given the performance of TIM, CPB could not recommend any new initiatives for SOE. CPB will send their recommendations regarding TIM to EVC Kliger in the next two weeks.

Campus Debt Target (Non-State Funded Capital Projects)

Planning Director Robin Draper informed CPB that every year the campuses are asked to provide a five-year state capital plan and a non-state plan. Up until last year debt was on a first come, first serve basis because UC was concerned about bond ratings. They gave the campuses targets and asked that they prioritize within their targets. Director Draper noted that with regards to projects, we need to remember the following:

1. this is not project approval (still must go through process)
2. priorities can be changed at any time during the year
3. UCSC sends full list of slated projects, not just what fits into the target envelop

Two of the listed projects must go forward because students voted by referendum to do these (fee based funding). We must choose between fully funding a single project, or funding several other smaller projects. Infrastructure and seismic costs are not going up at the same rate as new construction. The Office of the President (OP) has withheld a pool of state- funded projects for over runs. The Digital Arts building and the McHenry Annex will probably come in over budget which means other projects will be delayed unless OP will let UCSC tap the over run pool.

CPB Response to Academic Plans

CPB continued to draft their response to the Academic Plans.