

MINUTES
COMMITTEE ON PLANNING AND BUDGET
Meeting of March 23, 2006

Present: Chair Paul Koch, Faye Crosby, Onuttom Narayan, Ray Gibbs, David Evan Jones, Quentin Williams, Ravi Rajan, Don Rothman, Emily Honig, Mary-Beth Harhen

Absent: (with notice) Marina Sarran, Saurabh Mishra

Member's Items

The minutes for 3/2/06 were approved as written. Member Onuttom Narayan reported on the Advisory Committee for Facilities meeting. The campus is preparing to submit the MCIP (Master Capital Improvement Plan) to Office of the President. Last year UCSC's ceiling on debt capacity was set at \$50 million. This year it has been raised to \$100 million. All the essential projects for UCSC add up to \$200 million. The MCIP puts forward campus priorities for a five year window. CPB will review the MCIP when the committee reconvenes in Spring quarter.

LRDP/EIR Resolution

The Senate Executive Committee (SEC) has agreed to co-sponsor CPB's resolution on the LRDP. CPB reviewed the most current draft of the resolution. The committee discussed the strategy for responding to the administration should they choose to ignore the Resolution, if it passes. CPB noted that a portion of the draft EIR dealing with traffic, specifically Route 1 interchanges, was re-issued. They were disturbed that in their very recent consultation with VC Tom Vani and CPEVC Kliger, which discussed traffic analysis in the draft EIR and the possibility of re-issuing the analysis, the recirculation of this portion of the draft EIR was not mentioned.

Benchmarks for Growth

In preparation for future discussion with CPEVC Kliger, CPB began discussion of benchmarks for growth to understand what makes growth detrimental or advantageous. They agreed it would be good to have an understanding with the administration as to what would constitute positive growth for the campus. Several types of growth were identified: buildings; capital projects; growth of faculty and staff; and students.

CPB Report on Academic Plans

The committee discussed the elements to be included in its review of each divisional plan and recommendations to the EVC. The committee agreed to identify aspects of each plan that are an obvious "go", those that need more work (including creating collaborations) and those where the case is not well demonstrated. The report will note some overarching issues such as lack of coordination between divisions and noting how divisions responded to the task.

Proposed Principles on Private Funding for Leadership Salaries

CPB agreed with the UCPB letter addressing the inappropriateness of raising private funds to cover administrative salaries.