

**MINUTES
COMMITTEE ON PLANNING AND BUDGET
Meeting of January 13, 2005**

Present: Paul Koch, Don Rothman, Margaret Fitzsimmons, Onuttom Narayan, Wentai Liu, Margaret Morse, John Lynch, Faye Crosby, Alison Galloway, Mary-Beth Harhen, Marina Sarra

Absent: (with notice) Ray Gibbs

Guests: Interim CPEVC Peggy Delaney, VPAA George Brown, Assistant Provost Charlotte Moreno, and VC Meredith Michaels

Members' Items/Committee Business

Member John Lynch reported on the Senate Executive Committee meeting and the Information Technology Services (ITS) presentation. CPB agreed to invite the ITS team to consult with them after the release of the Gartner report which will clarify directions for the transformation project.

CPB discussed their concerns about the ongoing re-evaluation of Q courses by CEP. Chair Koch will meet with a subgroup of CEP to discuss the issue.

Chair Koch reported on the UCPB meeting where there was a budget report from VP Larry Hershman. A provisional plan for reviewing CallSIs was discussed. Vice Provost for Research Coleman presented information on the funding of MRUs.

Student Affairs Sub-committee

The Student Affairs retreat will be on Tuesday, February 1, 2005. CPB formulated a list of questions for VC Hernandez to be sent prior to the retreat.

Budget Update- Governor's Budget

The Governor is honoring his compact with the University of California. More details of the budget can be found on the following website:

<http://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/news/2005/jan10.html>

Consultation with Interim CPEVC Peggy Delaney and VPAA George Brown

An overview for revising and implementing the 2010 academic plan was distributed and reviewed.

I. GOALS

1. Produce a revised 10-year campus academic plan by February 1, 2006.
2. The enrollment goal is 17,215 students in fall 2010.
3. The faculty recruitment timescale is academic year 2012-2013 (allowing for two year lag in hiring).
4. The final plan will be synthesized by the Provost from the divisional proposals.

5. The academic senate will be consulted at all key phases of the planning process.

II. TIMELINE

January, 2005: Formulate draft *Planning Criteria* and *Progress Goals*.

February-March, 2005: Consult Senate on draft *Planning Criteria* and *Progress Goals*.

February-June 2005: Divisions revise 2001 divisional proposals as necessary, based on the new resource environment, progress over the past 5 years, and any new areas/opportunities that have arisen since 2000.

July-September 2005: Provost synthesizes draft *Academic Plan*.

October-December 2005: Senate reviews draft *Academic Plan*.

January, 2006: Provost revises draft *Academic Plan*.

February 1, 2006 Provost issues final *Academic Plan*.

The Academic Advisory Council has met with the Council of Deans who have endorsed the overview timeline. Resource targets will be issued to the divisional deans this month and the divisional proposals should reflect the planning criteria and the projected targets. The divisional proposals will be circulated among the deans so that areas of overlap in research and curricular goals can be identified. Overlap indicates broad interest in a topic, and it may allow resources to be leveraged among divisions to advance planning goals. The overall objective is to set up a process for collaboration and cooperation among academic divisions. Interim CPEVC Delaney stated she will separate the planning criteria from the progress goals (i.e., metrics that assess progress towards goals). She also stated it is the role of the CPEVC and the VPAA to make final decisions about the overall academic direction that the campus will pursue.

CPB will consult with VPAA Brown after they have received and reviewed the draft planning criteria and progress goals.

Consultation with Interim CPEVC Peggy Delaney

The faculty appointment for Chancellor Denise Denton was discussed. Her academic FTE will be in the Electrical Engineering Department. The Chancellor's partner, Gretchen Kalonji, will hold a full-time position at the Office of the President and the FTE will be held at UCOP

Resolution on Restrictions on Research Funding

CPB discussed the rationale behind the Academic Council Resolution on Restrictions on Research Funding Sources. The CPB response draft letter will be vetted via email and finalized at the next meeting.