May 24, 2024

# ACADEMIC DEANS CAMPUS PROVOST AND EXECUTIVE VICE CHANCELLOR KLETZER

## **Re: Recommendations for Off-Cycle Hire Requests**

Dear Colleagues,

The Committee on Planning and Budget (CPB) reviews three types of off-cycle hires in addition to the normal FTE call:

- 1. Spousal/Partner Waiver of Open Recruitment requests
- 2. Target of Excellence Waiver of Recruitment requests
- 3. Off-Cycle Second Hire Recruitment requests

CPB guidelines are available outlining best practices for submission of supporting information for each request type. This document provides overarching recommendations for off-cycle hires generally but does not supersede the recommendations in the existing memos. With the implementation of the Divisional Resource Model in 2023-24, several aspects of hiring have changed. To facilitate timely and informed recommendations from CPB, the following criteria and best practices should be applied for all off-cycle hires. CPB reserves the right to return requests without review if documentation and justification for the request is inadequate.

## Timing of the Request

There are many circumstances leading to an off-cycle request; in the case of a partner or second hire, the request logically follows an open recruitment. In other cases, such as an unexpected separation or unique opportunity (such as a Target of Excellence hire), a compelling argument should be put forward for the urgency of the request, explaining why the proposed hire cannot be included in the normal FTE process.

# **Impact on Existing Plans**

Both the department and division should place the off-cycle request in the context of the departmental and divisional plans. Is the position identified in the decanal 3-year FTE plan? Is the position justified in departmental self-studies? If not, then there should be clear and compelling arguments for how the proposed hire would advance the department, division, and campus priorities.

#### **Resource Implications**

While proposed costs for start-up, renovation, and faculty recruitment allowance are part of the package, there should be justification for non-standard costs (i.e. requesting more than the campus guidelines for Faculty Recruitment Allowance (FRA), very large start-up budgets, etc.).

It is helpful for CPB if costs are contextualized within the subdiscipline or field. Space should clearly be identified (both office and lab space) ideally with office/lab room numbers but at minimum with a declaration that space has been identified. If not available immediately (for example if the off-cycle hire is contingent on a retirement or separation), the anticipated timeline for the space becoming available should be included.

### **Diversity**

On-cycle hires benefit from a critical evaluation of a large pool of candidates, and for some cases (second hires) the candidate has been evaluated against the <u>UCSC starting rubric</u> for evaluating DEI statements. For other off-cycle hires, the candidate should be considered using the same criteria. CPB is particularly interested in documentation regarding whether an off-cycle hire contributes in specific ways to the campus goal of diversifying the faculty.

Sincerely,

Raphael Kudela, Chair

Hand Lunder

Committee on Planning and Budget

**Assistant Deans** cc:

Department Managers

Cynthia Larive, Chancellor

Herbert Lee. Vice Provost for Academic Affairs

Grace McClintock, Assistant Vice Provost for Academic Personnel

Maureen Callanan, Co-Chair, Committee on Academic Personnel

Susan Gillman, Co-Chair, Committee on Academic Personnel

Gabriela Arredondo, Chair, Committee on Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion

Matthew Mednick, Executive Director, Academic Senate

Mary Laurence, Senior Academic Planning Analyst