

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATIONAL POLICY
MINUTES

Wednesday, January 10, 2018
11 am-1:30 p.m., Kerr Hall, Room 307

Present: Jeff Bury, Noriko Aso (CCI Chair, *ex-officio*), Burcu Birol (SUA Rep.), Ben Carson (Provost Rep.), Joy Hagen (NTSF Rep.), Suresh Lodha, Onuttom Narayan, (Chair), Francis Nimmo, Tchad Sanger (Registrar, *ex-officio*), Tonya Ritola, Megan Thomas, Kim Van Le (Senate Analyst). Susanna Wrangell (Senate Analyst), Jessica Xu (SUA Rep.).

Absent: Patrick Chuang.

Guest: Associate Registrar Claxton, Kalin McGraw Preceptor Representative.

I. Announcements & Members Items

Members welcomed new preceptor representative Kalin McGraw from Kresge College.

Nina Treadwell resigned from committee, and COC will try and fill the vacancy with a former CEP member.

Kresge Project Update: The Chair updated the committee about the project. The building committee does not have the authority to override the Deans' preference for the project, but the EVC does. Members would like to have a meeting with the EVC to discuss this issue. The project plans on keeping the small rooms unchanged but does not want to increase the intermediate size classroom to 200 seats as CEP requested. CEP is also concerned that the small and intermediate classrooms may be decreased later due to budget cuts, while the 600 seat large lecture room will be preserved.

The Chair announced to members a change in program statement reviews. There is a table with assignments due to the complexity of follow up. Some will have little changes, and others will require a lengthy discussion.

Consent Agenda: The following were approved.

- CEP to University Registrar re improvements to AIS.
 - CEP to VPAA re: EPS Science Education Discontinuance
- Approve Minutes: for 11/29, and 12/6/2017.

II. Begin Reviewing Program Statements

Chair Narayan had assigned the initial program statements for review. Lead reviewers are responsible for reviewing all documents and program statement thoroughly. Second reviewers only review the tracked changes. Also, for screening majors, reviewers should compare the transfer criteria with the Admissions Office and provide comments for changes or recommend approval within the OCA. Also, each program statement should be compared to the proposed template to see if it will fit into the proposed structure.

The following program statements were reviewed:

- Applied Mathematics & Statistics:
- Technology Management
- Linguistics
- German Studies Suspension Proposal (if approved no program statement review)
- Legal Studies
- Baskin School of Engineering Divisional
- Feminist Studies (including proposal to discontinue concentrations)

III. Complete Strategic Academic Planning Discussion

The committee will finalize the discussion from the last meeting on the IAVPAA Martin Berger's request for comments and feedback on the campus new strategic academic plan.

There was no draft plan provided; instead a set of broad questions were to be addressed.

Discussion:

Members held a long discussion and developed a list of the following topics to address in the committee's response.

Summary:

- Process for shared governance and Senate consultation and choice of consultants
- Resource generation: external fundraising could be improved upon; grants are just one source of revenue; revenue associated with tuition should also be taken into consideration. Summer school, online courses and private partnerships will be expanded on.
- Internal Barriers to teaching and research based on the structure of our academic divisions and programs
- Constraints on growth: classroom space, enrollment targets, traffic and parking and the high cost of living.

IV. Computer Science Department Impaction Request

Computer Science is requesting "impacted status." With member Lodha recused, the committee reviewed the departmental proposal, BSOE Dean's letter of support, and supplementary material from last year's review. The Senate Chair will compose the Senate response to VPAA Lee.

Summary:

Admissions Policy:

CEP is in agreement with the careful analysis provided by the Committee on Planning and Budget (CBP) and endorses frosh enrollment to 600 for one year (2018-19) with lateral entry closed (no students migrating to the major). Alternatively, a smaller group of students could be enrolled as proposed CS majors when they enter UCSC, but limited lateral entry allowed after one year. If limited lateral migration is allowed, CEP and other Senate committees would work with the department on criteria for student selection into the major.

Implementation:

If the enrollment is to be controlled, this falls within the purview of the Committee on Admissions and Financial Aid (CAFA) to determine criteria for admittance.

Other considerations for the CS department to consider:

- Curriculum review and reform
- Undergraduate program in CS after SOE reorganization
- TA Allocation and Usage
- Teaching workloads
- Capacity in courses: students drop or withdraw leaving many empty seats that could have been occupied
- Cap on transfer admissions

Committee on Educational Policy, 2017 – 18