COMMITTEE ON PLANNING AND BUDGET
Report on Status of Professional Schools

To the Academic Senate, Santa Cruz Division:

An oral report will be given to the Senate based on the following outline.

1. Why professional schools now?
   • A means of increasing graduate growth targeted to a different set of students than traditional MA and PH.D. programs.
   • A means of enhancing areas of campus strength.
   • A means of developing the UCSC location in Silicon Valley.

2. What’s been done thus far to explore the possibilities for professional schools?
   • Call for proposals last year.
   • Seed money from the Vice Provost for Academic Affairs.
   • Office of the President (OP) money for development of the UCSC-Silicon Valley connection.

3. What ideas have emerged, then and since, from which campus constituencies, and at what stages of development?
   • Architecture and Design, Education, Environmental Science and Policy, Library and Information Technology, and Public Health (ideas suggested by faculty groups and deans)
   • Climate Change (pre-professional school program)
   • Coastal and Marine Policy, Public Media, and Public Policy (pre-proposals funded through Senate process)
   • School of Management (most fully funded and developed of all the above)

4. School of Management update
   • Pre-proposal phase
   • Consultant report
   • Resource plan
   • Campus sponsors

5. Process for new proposals
   • Guidelines for pre-proposals
   • EVC available support
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